



Therefore from these tableau we conclude that D is not tableau provable.

$\therefore \sim D$ will be tableau provable

\therefore It is proved that Property dealers are not happy and it is tableau provable.

Resolution in propositional logic

Resolution refutation is a method to prove a formula by contradiction where negation of goal which is added to given set of clause and it is shown that there ~~is~~ exists refutation in new set of sentences by using resolution principle.

Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal form.

Defⁿ:- A formula is in its normal form if it constructed using only natural connectives $\{ \wedge, \vee, \neg \}$.

Defⁿ:- A literal is an atom or its negation.

Defⁿ:- A formula $L_1 \vee L_2 \vee \dots \vee L_n$ is called disjunction of literals.

and A formula $L_1 \wedge L_2 \wedge \dots \wedge L_n$ is called conjunction of ~~the~~ literals.

Defⁿ In disjunctive normal form (DNF) - a formula is represented in the form $(L_{11} \wedge \dots \wedge L_{1n}) \vee \dots \vee (L_{m1} \wedge \dots \wedge L_{mn})$, where all L_{ij} 's are literals. Therefore it is disjunction of conjunctions.

Defⁿ:- Conjunctive normal form (CNF) is conjunction of disjunctions. Therefore it is represented as $(L_{11} \vee \dots \vee L_{1m}) \wedge \dots \wedge (L_{p1} \vee \dots \vee L_{pn})$, where all L_{ij} 's are literals.

Conversion of a formula to its CNF

Each formula in propositional logic can be easily transformed into its equivalent CNF or DNF representation. It should follow the following laws:

1. eliminate ' \rightarrow ' & ' \leftrightarrow ' by using following equivalence laws.

$$P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim P \vee Q$$

$$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$$

$$\equiv (\sim P \vee Q) \wedge (\sim Q \vee P)$$

2. Use de Morgan's law to push ' \sim ' immediately before atomic formula.

$$\sim (P \wedge Q) \equiv \sim P \vee \sim Q$$

$$\sim (P \vee Q) \equiv \sim P \wedge \sim Q$$

3. eliminate double negation by $\sim \sim P \equiv P$

4. use distributive law to get CNF, $P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$.

Internal Test of 20 _____

BRANCH - _____ SEMESTER - _____
 NAME _____
 NAME OF PAPER _____ PAPER CODE _____
 COLLEGE ROLL No. _____ DATE _____

MARKS OBTAINED _____ Signature of the Invigilator _____

Signature of Examiner _____

Eg: Convert $P \wedge (C \sim Q \rightarrow R) \leftrightarrow W$ into its corresponding CNF representation.

Ans. $P \wedge (C \sim Q \rightarrow R) \leftrightarrow W$

elimination of \rightarrow $\cong P \wedge (C \sim Q \vee R) \leftrightarrow W$

elimination of \sim $\cong P \wedge ((\sim C) \vee R) \leftrightarrow W$

elimination of \leftrightarrow $\cong P \wedge ((\sim C \vee R) \vee W) \wedge (\sim W \vee (\sim C \vee R))$

use de Morgan's law $\cong P \wedge ((\sim C \wedge \sim R) \vee W) \wedge (\sim W \vee \sim C \vee R)$

use distributive law $\cong P \wedge ((\sim C \vee W) \wedge (\sim R \vee W)) \wedge (\sim W \vee \sim C \vee R)$

$\cong P \wedge (\sim C \vee W) \wedge (\sim R \vee W) \wedge (\sim W \vee \sim C \vee R)$

Definition A clause is a special formula expressed as disjunction of literals. If a clause contains only one literal then it is called unit clause.

If CNF representation of a formula is $C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n$, where each C_k is called a clause.

Resolution of Clauses

Def: If two clauses C_1 & C_2 contain complementary pair of literals $\{L, \sim L\}$, then these clauses can be resolved together by deleting L from C_1 & $\sim L$ from C_2 .